

## Definition of a ring

A *ring*  $R$  is a set, with elements  $0, 1 \in R$ , together with two binary operations  $+$  (addition) and  $\times$  (multiplication, often written  $\cdot$  or omitted) such that the following properties hold:

(Associativity for $+$ )	$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$
(Associativity for $\times$ )	$a(bc) = (ab)c$
(Identity for $+$ )	$a + 0 = 0 + a = a$
(Identity for $\times$ )	$1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$
(Inverses for $+$ )	$a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0$
(Distributivity)	$a(b + c) = ab + ac, \quad (a + b)c = ac + bc$
(Commutativity for $+$ )	$a + b = b + a$

These properties are for all  $a, b, c \in R$ . The “Inverses for  $+$ ” property should be understood as: for each  $a \in R$ , there is an element called  $-a \in R$  such that  $a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0$ . We abbreviate  $a + (-a)$  as  $a - a$ . A ring is *commutative* if  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

Examples of rings include  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , all with the usual operations of addition and multiplication. Note these are all *commutative* rings.

A “shorter” definition of a ring is as follows: a ring  $R$  is a set with binary operations  $+$  and  $\times$  such that  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group with identity  $0 \in R$ ; and  $\times$  is associative and has an identity  $1 \in R$ ; and the distributivity properties hold.

We remark that the axiom that “ $+$ ” is commutative is implied by the other axioms. To see this we compute  $(1 + 1)(a + b)$  in two different ways:

$$(1 + 1)(a + b) = 1(a + b) + 1(a + b) = a + b + a + b$$

$$(1 + 1)(a + b) = (1 + 1)a + (1 + 1)b = a + a + b + b$$

We have used distributivity and also that  $1$  is a multiplicative identity. Then we can add  $-a$  to the left sides and  $-b$  to the right sides of these equations to get  $b + a = a + b$ .

► **Let  $R$  be a ring and  $a, b \in R$ . Then:**

(i)  $a0 = 0a = 0$

(ii)  $a(-b) = -ab = (-a)b$

(iii)  $(-a)(-b) = ab$

*Proof.* To prove (i), using  $0 = -0$ , we compute  $a0 = a(0 - 0) = a0 - a0 = 0$ , and similarly  $0a = 0$ . For (ii), use distributivity:  $ab + a(-b) = a(b + (-b)) = a0 = 0$ ; this implies  $a(-b) = -ab$ . Similarly  $(-a)b = -ab$ . For (iii): from (ii),  $(-a)(-b) = -(a(-b)) = -(-ab) = ab$ .  $\square$

► **Suppose  $0 = 1$  in a ring  $R$ . Then  $R = \{0\}$ .**

To see this, we compute for any  $a \in R$ :  $a = a1 = a0 = 0$ . Thus every element in  $R$  is equal to 0, and this proves the claim. We call  $R = \{0\}$ , with the operations  $+$  and  $\times$  defined in the only possible way, the *zero ring*.

For a ring  $R \neq \{0\}$ ,  $(R, \times)$  is *not* a group. To see this, note that  $R \neq \{0\}$  implies  $0 \neq 1$ . Then  $a0 = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ . Thus there is no  $a \in R$  such that  $a0 = 1$ . This means 0 does not have a multiplicative inverse. So  $(R, \times)$  is not a group.

► **Let  $R$  be a ring. We make the following definitions:**

- (i)  $a \in R$  is a *unit* if there is a  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 1$ . We write  $b = a^{-1}$ .
- (ii)  $a \in R$  is a *zero divisor* if there is a non-zero  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = 0$ .

The following is a straightforward verification from the definitions.

► **Let  $R$  be a ring and define  $R^\times = \{a \in R : a \text{ is a unit}\}$ . Then  $(R^\times, \times)$  is a group.**

Note this notation agrees with our earlier notations for  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^\times$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times$ .

Here is an example of a non-commutative ring. Consider the set of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices:

$$M_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Then we define  $+$  to be addition of matrices and  $\times$  to be multiplication of matrices. Then, not surprisingly,  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  is a ring with additive and multiplicative identities given by:

$$\text{"0"} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{"1"} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

An example of a zero divisor in  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  (which is not 0) is the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In fact  $AA = A^2 = 0$ . Finally, we note that  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$  is a unit if and only if  $A \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . We conclude  $M_2(\mathbb{R})^\times = GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

► **Let  $R$  be a ring. We make the following definitions:**

- (i)  $R$  is an *integral domain* if it is commutative and  $ab = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .
- (ii)  $R$  is a *division ring* if every non-zero  $a \in R$  is a unit.
- (iii)  $R$  is a *field* if it is a commutative division ring.

Note a commutative ring  $R$  is an integral domain if and only if the only zero divisor in  $R$  is 0. Also, every field is an integral domain. Note also that  $R$  is a division ring if and only if the group of units  $R^\times$  is exactly  $R \setminus \{0\}$ .

Examples of fields are  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain but not a division ring or a field. The ring  $M_2(\mathbb{R})$  is not commutative (hence not an integral domain or a field) and also not a division ring, because it has non-zero zero divisors.

Let's look at some other examples. Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Note  $1 \cdot 1 = 1$  and  $2 \cdot 2 = 1$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is an integral domain, and even a field. For another example, consider  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Note that  $2 \cdot 2 = 0$ , so this ring has a non-zero zero divisor, and is not an integral domain.

Now consider  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  for  $n$  a general positive integer  $n > 1$ . We know that

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \{\text{units in } \mathbb{Z}_n\} = \{a \pmod{n} : \gcd(a, n) = 1\}$$

The only case in which  $\mathbb{Z}_n^\times = \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$  is when  $n$  is a prime. Thus the commutative ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is prime.

Furthermore, suppose  $n$  is not prime, and write  $n = ab$  for some positive integers  $a, b$  less than  $n$ . Then  $a, b \pmod{n}$  are non-zero, but  $ab \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Thus  $a, b \pmod{n}$  are zero divisors in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is not even an integral domain when  $n$  is not prime. Thus:

► **If  $n > 1$  is prime, the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field. If  $n$  is not prime,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has (non-zero) zero divisors and so is not an integral domain.**